Galactic Conformity at 0.2 < z < 1 with PRIMUS ¹UC San Diego Angela Berti¹, Alison Coil¹, Aaron Bray², Daniel Eisenstein², the PRIMUS Team ²Harvard University



Galactic Conformity

 Correlation between colors, morphologies, specific star formation rates, etc. of massive central galaxies and neighboring galaxies within a few Mpc



- Neighboring galaxies seem to "know" whether the central galaxy in their parent halo (or an adjacent halo) is star-forming or quiescent
- First observed at one- and two-halo scales in SDSS (Weinmann et al. 2006, Kauffmann et al. 2013)

Evidence for Assembly Bias

- Halo occupation distribution (HOD) models without assembly bias predict no conformity
- Hearin et al. (2015a) age matching HOD model applied to simulations predicts two-halo conformity that decreases with increasing redshift and halo mass
- In simulations large-scale tidal fields cause halo accretion conformity, which could be responsible for two-halo galactic conformity if dark matter accretion and baryon accretion are sufficiently coupled (Hearin et al. 2015b)

PRIMUS Conformity Signal



• Tests at higher redshift (z > 0.2) are needed

PRIsm MUlti-object Survey (PRIMUS)

- 60,000 spectroscopic redshifts at 0.2 < z < 1
- 5.5 square degrees over 5 fields
- Galaxy mass range: $8.5 \leq \log(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) \leq 11.3$
- Galaxies classified as star-forming or **quiescent** with evolving cut in M_{\star} vs. sSFR
- Conformity measured for sample of **"isolated** primary" galaxies above redshift-dependent PRIMUS mass-completeness limit

Effects of Systematic Error and Cosmic Variance

- Essential to match redshift and stellar mass distributions of star-forming and quiescent primary galaxy samples
- Conformity should be measured in **multiple fields** to account for cosmic variance
- Estimating uncertainty with bootstrap resampling does **not** incorporate field-to-field variation

Unmatched Redshift & Stellar Mass Distributions





Can we test predictions of redshift and mass dependence?

- Much larger volumes must be surveyed with spectroscopic redshifts to faint depths at z > 0.2 to more significantly detect two-halo conformity (> 3σ) and to test the redshift and stellar mass dependence of the signal
- Conclusions at z > 0.2 should **not** be drawn from one field